

Proposal Title :	61 Lane Cove R	oad and 5 Myra	Avenue		
Proposal Title : 61 Lane Cove Road and 5 Myra Avenue					
Myra Avenue, Ryde from part SP		amend Ryde LEP 2014 to rezone 61 Lane Cove Road and 5 P2 (Place of Public Worship) and part R2 Low Density blic Worship and Educational Establishment) and remove the o and lot size controls.			
PP Number :	PP_2016_RYDE	C_006_00	Dop File No :	16/11328	
roposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Receiv	19-Aug-2016 red :		LGA covered :	Ryde	
Region :	Metro(CBD)		RPA :	Ryde City Co	ouncil
State Electorate	: RYDE		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning	g Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
ocation Detail	S				
Street :	61 Lane Cove Road				
Suburb :	Ryde	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2112
Land Parcel :	Lot 21 DP 1112210				
Street :	5 Myra Avenue				
Suburb :	Ryde	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2112
Land Parcel :	Lot 3 DP 650869				
DoP Planning Officer Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Mary Su				
Contact Number	r: 0292282807				
Contact Email : mary.su@planning.nsw.gov.a		ng.nsw.gov.au			
RPA Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Lara Dominish				
Contact Number	C 0299528455				
Contact Email :	ldominish@ryde.	.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Manager Contact Details					
Contact Name :					
Contact Number	r:				
Contact Email :					

Land Release Data				
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :		
MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :		
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0	
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
If No, comment :				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο			
If Yes, comment :	The Department of Planning and Environment's Code of Practice in relation to communication and meetings with lobbyists has been complied with. Sydney Region East has not met any lobbyist in relation to this proposal, nor has the A/Director been advised of any meetings between other Department officers and lobbyists concerning this proposal.			
Supporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :				
External Supporting Notes :				
Adequacy Assessmer	Adequacy Assessment			
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)			
Is a statement of the ot	ojectives provided? Yes			
Comment ·	The intended outcome of the	planning proposal is to :	×	

• rezone the site from part SP2 (Place of Public Worship) and part R2 Low Density Residential to SP2 (Place of Public Worship and Educational Establishment) to better reflect the existing, continuing and future intended public worship and educational uses of the site.

• provide opportunities for more efficient use of the existing Ryde Baptist Church and Northcross Christian School by formally acknowledging the long standing educational establishment use on the site. The addition of 5 Myra Avenue into the overall site will enable a more practical, safe reconfiguration of current facilities at Northcross Christian School to improve its operation and performance.

• allow Northcross Christian School to explore opportunities in relation to keeping up to date with the provision of state of the art education facilities that may be required at Northcross Christian School.

a,

		onal experience for students at Northcross Christian School, as well ironment for the parents and staff that nurture and educate them.			
Explanation of provisi	ons provided - s55((2)(b)			
Is an explanation of provis	ion of provisions provided? Yes				
Comment :	The amendments proposed to Ryde LEP 2014 include:				
	 Rezone 61 Lane Cove Road (Lot 21 DP1112210) and 5 Myra Avenue Ryde (Lot 3 DP650869), from part SP2 (Place of Public Worship) and part R2 Low Density Resident SP2 (Place of Public Worship and Educational Establishment); 				
• amend the lo Myra Avenue;		size map to remove the current minimum lot size control of 580 sqm at 5			
	• amend the height of at 5 Myra Avenue; and	buildings map to remove the current maximum height of 9.5 metres d			
	• amend the floor spa Avenue.	ce ratio map to remove the current FSR control of 0.5:1 at 5 Myra			
Justification - s55 (2)(c)				
a) Has Council's strategy	been agreed to by the D	irector General? Yes			
b) S.117 directions identifi	ed by RPA :	3.1 Residential Zones			
* May need the Director G	eneral's agreement	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney			
Is the Director General's agreement required? No					
c) Consistent with Standa	rd Instrument (LEPs) Or	der 2006 : Yes			
d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007					
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :					
Have inconsistencies with	items a), b) and d) bein	g adequately justified? Yes			
If No, explain :	3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES: This Direction applies to the planning proposal as the proposal affects land within an existing or proposed residential zone or any other zone in which significant residential development is permitted or proposed to be permitted. The proposal is considered to be INCONSISTENT with this Direction as it reduces the permissible residential density of the land.				
		N: The Secretary can be satisfied that the inconsistency is of minor volves the rezoning of one dwelling house lot only.			
Mapping Provided - s	55(2)(d)				
Is mapping provided? Yes	S				
Comment :	The mapping provid	led is adequate for public exhibition.			
Community consultat	ion - s55(2)(e)				
Has community consultat	ion been proposed? Yes	5			
Comment :	Consultation will be undertaken in accordance with the Gateway determination. The planning proposal suggests that an exhibition period of 28 days would be appropriate				

and this is considered reasonable.

An indicative project timeline has been provided with a completion date of February 2017. The Department considers a 9 month project timeline for completion is adequate.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : September 2014

Comments in relation The Ryde LEP 2014 was notified on 12 September 2014. to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	A planning proposal is needed to achieve the various amendments to the Ryde LEP 2014. The amendments to the existing zoning and development standards will facilitate the use of the site as an educational establishment incorporating recently acquired lots.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	Direction 1.10 of "A Plan for Growing Sydney" is to "Plan for education and health services to meet Sydney's growing needs". The planning proposal supports the functionality of the existing educational facility, and is consistent with this Direction.
Environmental social economic impacts :	There are no known environmental effects resulting from this proposal. There is no applicable critical habitat, contamination, certified biodiversity, bushfire risk or flood liability affecting the property. The site is not in the vicinity of any heritage item or within a heritage conservation area.
	A pre-lodgement meeting was held in February 2016 with Council and representatives of Northcross Christian School to discuss the proposal. Council identified a number of potential issues including traffic, noise, height of buildings, overshadowing and downstream flooding. The proposal notes these issues will be addressed through specialist reports and agency consultation as part of a future development application.
	A subdivision application for consolidation of 5 Myra Avenue into the rest of the Ryde Baptist Church and Northcross Christian School land in line with the proposed zone boundary will be submitted to Ryde Council separately. This is intended to ensure consistency with the zone boundary going into the future.

Assessment Proces	S				
Proposal type :	Routine	Communit Period :	y Consultation	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation	ii i	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Department of Education Transport for NSW - Road				
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required? No	0			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ? Ye	es			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(I	b) : No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional s	tudies, if required.				
If Other, provide reason	S :				
Identify any internal con	sultations, if required :			8.1 	
No internal consultation	on required				
Is the provision and fun	ding of state infrastructure re	elevant to this plan?	' No		
If Yes, reasons :	The proposal will not in	crease the need fo	or infrastructure.		
Documents					
Document File Name		[DocumentType N	ame	Is Public
Planning Proposal - An Cover Letter - Ryde Ci	mendment to Ryde LEP 201 ty Council.pdf	•	Proposal Proposal Coverir	ng Letter	Yes Yes
Planning Team Recom	mendation				
Preparation of the plana	ning proposal supported at th	nis stage : Recom n	nended with Cor	ditions	
S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Us 7.1 Implementation of A		Sydney		
Additional Information :	It is recommended that conditions:	the planning prop	osal proceed, s	ubject to the follo	wing
	1. The planning propos	al be publicly exhi	ibited for a perio	d of not less tha	n 28 days.

3. A public hearing is not required.

Department of Education and Communities

Roads and Maritime Services

2. Council is to consult with the following public authorities:

61 Lane Cove Road and	d 5 Myra Avenue		
	4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months.		
	5. The LEP maps shall be prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Department's "Standard Technical Requirements for Spatial Datasets and Maps" (2015).		
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal is supported because the proposed amendments will better reflect the existing, continuing and future intended public worship and educational uses of the site.		
Signature:	1 -		
Printed Name:	W. W. 11 1amen Date: 9/9/2016		

 \sim